Paranasal sinus mucocele; clinical presentations and surgical management
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Abstract

Mucoceles of the paranasal sinus are benign expandable lesions that primarily occur between the fourth and seventh decades of life [1]. In this study, the cases diagnosed with paranasal sinus mucocele who were operated by a single surgeon between 2002 and 2019 were evaluated in terms of symptoms, surgical technique, complications, and recurrence and discussed in the light of the literature. In this study, we retrospectively analyzed 75 patients, 76 mucoceles who underwent operations due to paranasal sinus mucoceles between January 2002 and December 2019. In the patients diagnosed with a mucocele, the mucocele was removed surgically. Of the 75 patients, 36 were female and 40 were male. All the patients were between the ages of 21 and 85, with an average age of 56.9 years old. Mucocele formation occurred in the frontal sinus in 46 (61%) patients, the maxillary sinus in 16 (21%) patients the ethmoid sinus in 11 (14%) patients, and the sphenoid sinus in 3 (4%). 1 patient had both frontal and maxillary sinus mucocele. 15 underwent surgery using external approach. All patients were followed-up with endoscopic examinations for 13 months to 4 years. 4 of 76 mucoceles (5.3%) experienced recurrence Treatment of paranasal sinus mucoceles is surgical and usually simple drainage and marsupialization of mucoceles is sufficient. The endoscopic approach in the treatment of sphenoid, maxillary and ethmoid mucoceles is the surgical method of choice without question. However, in frontal sinus mucocele surgery, external surgery is still valid as much as endoscopic sinus surgery.
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Introduction

Mucoceles of the paranasal sinus are benign expandable lesions that primarily occur between the fourth and seventh decades of life [1]. Sinus mucoceles may develop due to obstruction of the sinus outflow resulting from anatomical obstruction, mucosal hyperplasia, mass lesion or other mechanical factors. Previous operation and trauma, chronic sinusitis, tumor, nasal polyps, and RT are the main etiological factors [1,2].

The frontal sinus is the most common site of mucocele formation, followed by the ethmoidal, maxillary, and sphenoid sinuses [3-6].

Mucoceles are slow-growing mucosa-filled cysts lined with pseudo-columnar epithelium [3,5]. Although they grow slowly, they may extrude from the sinus from which they originate because of the bone erosion caused by the pressure they exert on the sinus wall and the cytokines they secrete. If the mucocele advances into the nasal passage, it causes nasal symptoms such as nasal congestion.

If it advances towards the orbital wall it causes orbital symptoms, such as proptosis, diplopia, epiphora, visual loss, and orbital cellulitis [1,4]. Frontal sinus mucoceles can cause intracranial symptoms, such as brain abscess, subdural abscess, and meningitis or they can cause cosmetic complaints because of swelling in the cheek or front and facial asymmetry due to expansion into the skin anteriorly [2,5]. Additionally, mucoceles may sometimes become infected and transform into a mucopyocele, causing symptoms such as osteomyelitis, orbital cellulitis and meningitis [1,7]. Therefore, when a mucocele that affects the sinus is detected, it must certainly be treated to prevent irreversible complications.

Diagnosis is made on imaging [8]. Computed tomography may illustrate an expansile remodeling-thinning and often sufficient for diagnosis. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) delineate the relationship among the mucocele, brain tissue, the orbit, and other soft tissues [1,8].
The treatment method of mucoceles is surgery [1,3,9,10]. Endoscopic sinus surgery is the gold standard in paranasal sinus mucocele treatment [9]. Nowadays, only endoscopic sinus surgery is preferred for maxillary, ethmoid and sphenoid sinus mucoceles. However, although endoscopic sinus surgery is the first choice in frontal sinus mucocele, open technique surgery can still be used today when there is frontal sinus anterior wall defect, intracranial or intraorbital extension.

In this study, cases diagnosed with paranasal sinus mucocele who were operated by a single surgeon between 2002 and 2019 were evaluated in terms of symptoms, surgical technique, complications, and recurrence and discussed in the light of the literature.

**Materials and Methods**

In this study, we retrospectively analyzed 75 patients, 76 mucoceles who underwent operations due to paranasal sinus mucoceles between January 2002 and December 2019. Approval for the study was granted by the Local Ethics Committee of Antalya Training and Research Hospital (30/05/2019-14/12). Age, sex, sinonasal symptoms, facial deformity and visual changes were noted. All the patients were assessed with endoscopic inspection followed by a paranasal sinus computed tomography (PNS CT) scan. In cases of cranial or orbital extension, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was performed for additional evaluation. In the patients diagnosed with a mucocele, it was removed surgically. All the patients underwent surgery by the same team. The patients with a postoperative pathological diagnosis of a mucocele were included in the study.

**Results**

Of the 75 patients, 35 were female and 40 were male. All these patients were between the ages of 21 and 85, with an average age of 56.9. Mucocele formation occurred in the frontal sinus in 46 (61%) patients, the maxillary sinus in 16 (21%) patients, the ethmoid sinus in 11 (14%) patients, and the sphenoid sinus in 3 (4%). 1 patient had both frontal and maxillary sinus mucocele (Figure 1).

![Figure 1. Ethmoid and frontal sinus mucocele](image)

Of the 75 patients, 76 paranasal sinus mucoceles, 19 (25%) had a history of FESC or nasal surgery and 18 (24%) had a history of chronic sinusitis, 11 (15%) had a history of allergy, 5 (7%) had a history of trauma, 4 (5%) patients had both frontal mucoceles and nasal polyposis. In 19 (25%) patients, no etiological factor was determined.

The most common symptom was nasal obstruction, which was exhibited by all patients with maxillary sinus mucoceles. Proptosis was the second most common symptom; total vision loss was present only in 1 patient with a frontal mucocele. In the preoperative assessment, a mucocele that invaded the superior orbital wall in the right frontal region and a 2/10 vision loss in the right eye was found. Vision in the left eye was 10/10. The patient was treated with the endoscopic approach, and in the postoperative assessment, the vision in the right eye was 10/10. Swelling was most frequently observed in the frontal sinus mucocele patients, and visual symptoms were exhibited by the sphenoid and ethmoid sinus mucocele patients. Headache was present in 38% of the patients. CSF fistula, meningitis, and brain abscess are rare but serious complications due to frontal pyocele. The distribution of the sinus symptoms is provided in (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom Type</th>
<th>Frontal (46/61%)</th>
<th>Ethmoid (11/14%)</th>
<th>Maxillary (16/21%)</th>
<th>Sphenoid (3/4%)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nasal Symptoms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal Obstructions</td>
<td>11 (24%)</td>
<td>7 (63%)</td>
<td>16 (100%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>34 (45%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal Congestion</td>
<td>4 (8.6%)</td>
<td>2 (18.1%)</td>
<td>4 (25%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10 (21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Orbital Symptoms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proptosis</td>
<td>25 (54.3%)</td>
<td>4 (36%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29 (38%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual Symptoms</td>
<td>5 (10%)</td>
<td>4 (36%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (50%)</td>
<td>10 (21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbital Wall Defects</td>
<td>16 (34%)</td>
<td>2 (18%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18 (23%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cranial Symptoms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>14 (30%)</td>
<td>3 (27%)</td>
<td>2 (12%)</td>
<td>1 (33%)</td>
<td>20 (26%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF Fistula</td>
<td>2 (4%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (2.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menengitis</td>
<td>2 (4%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (2.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apscess</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cosmetic Symptoms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facial Asymmetry</td>
<td>6 (13%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (6%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7 (9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone Defect</td>
<td>4 (8%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 (5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Maxillary, ethmoid, sphenoid sinus mucoceles were all treated with the endoscopic approach (Figure 2). Of the 46 patients with frontal sinus mucoceles, 31 underwent surgery underwent ESC (Figure 3). 15 underwent surgery using external approach (Figure 4). We performed osteoplastic frontal sinus surgery in 14 of these patients, and trephination was performed in the 1 remaining patient. The characteristics of the patients who underwent external approach and had frontal sinus mucocele are shown in (Table 2).

Table 2. Causes of External Surgery Preference in Patients Diagnosed with Frontal Sinus Mucocele

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anterior Wall Defect</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal Lobe Abscess</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dura Defect</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracranial Expansion</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbital Complications</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Being Able to Get General Anesthesia</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All patients were followed-up with endoscopic examinations for 13 months to 4 years. 4 of 76 mucoceles (5.3%) experienced recurrence. The recurrent patients were those who had previously been operated for chronic sinusitis (3 patients with polyps, 1 patient with chronic sinusitis without polyps).

Discussion

Mucoceles are cystic, benign expansile masses [3,8]. Mucoceles may give different symptoms depending on the area they affect. Depending on the size of the lesion, bone resorption and remodeling may be seen [2,4]. Visual disturbances due to orbital displacement or optic nerve compression may also lead to facial deformities due to bone expansion or defect. When mucoceles become infected and form pyomucocele formation, orbital cellulitis, orbital abscess, and intracranial spread may cause complications such as meningitis and brain abscess that require urgent intervention [9,10].

In our study, the 3 most common symptoms in paranasal sinus mucoceles were nasal obstruction (45%), proptosis (38%), and
Headage (20%). Plaintier et al. (78) reported that the most common symptom was pain before surgery. Fhu et al [1], on the other hand, reported the most common symptom in patients with primary and secondary mucocele as nasal obstruction. Proptosis is the 2 most common symptoms and was more common in frontal sinus mucoceles (54%) and ethmoid sinus mucoceles (36%), which are closely related to the orbit.

The treatment of paranasal sinus mucoceles is surgical and simple drainage and marsupialization of mucoceles is usually sufficient [8,9]. The endoscopic approach in the treatment of sphenoid, maxillary and ethmoid mucoceles is the surgical method of choice without question. Especially in ethmoid and sphenoid sinus mucoceles, endoscopy provides the same field of vision as in the external approach, and the morbidity rate is much lower [11].

In frontal sinus surgery, as a result of the magnificent development of endoscopic sinus surgery, the area of use of the external approach is gradually narrowing. However, it still has a place in some pathologies of the frontal sinus. In the literature, there are many authors who support the use of external approach in frontal sinus anterior wall effects, pathologies with excessive lateral localization, presence of pathology in type 3 frontal cells, and lesions with intracranial extension leading to the dura effect [2,11,12]. However, there are also publications treated with endoscopic approaches in many similar pathologies [3,6,10]. In our series, 16 of 46 patients with frontal sinus mucocele were treated using an external approach. Since 1 patient could not receive general anesthesia, trephination with LAA was performed, and 2 patients underwent craniotomy for frontal lobe abscess. Endoscopic surgery was unlikely to be performed in these cases. An external approach was applied to 5 patients with anterior wall defect in order to perform reconstruction. In these cases, the external approach is required for reconstruction (bone cement, titanium rapier). External approach was applied to 2 patients due to dura defect. There are patients treated with both methods in the literature. In these cases, the surgeon's facilities and experience are the most important factors in decision-making. A meta-analysis evaluating the development and use of endoscopic sinus surgery and techniques applied according to the surgeon's experience was conducted by Courson et al [13]. The studies examined in this meta-analysis are divided into 2 groups. The surgeries performed between 2002-2012 were collected in the first group, and the studies performed between 1975-2001 in the second group. It is seen that the rate of endoscopic surgery has increased in the second group. Again, in the same meta-analysis, in the comparison between the senior surgeons and others, it is seen that the senior surgeons solved the problems endoscopically at a much higher rate. In our study, 15 of 46 frontal sinus mucoceles and 31 external ones were treated by endoscopic approach. When we evaluate the development of our own surgical experience, we think that 5 cases that cause orbital complications can be performed endoscopically.

If the external approach is applied in the frontal sinus surgery, another discussion point is the obliteration of the frontal sinus. Some authors claim that the obliteration of the sinus after the complete removal of the frontal mucocele decreases the rate of recurrence [5,9]. Others defend the position that the sinus must be left open because obliteration damages the physiology of the frontal sinus [1,3]. Although we support the view that unless there is an underlying pathology, marsupialization of the mucocele is sufficient to protect the drainage and physiology of the frontal sinus, we also claim that for the patients who have dura defects, there is a need for obliteration to support the fascia that we use to repair the defect.

Another topic of discussion in the treatment of frontal mucoceles is whether to place a stent in the frontal ostium. Har-El G et al [10] placed stents in the cavity when they endoscopically performed small unilateral marsupialization and removed the stents after 8-12 weeks; they observed no recurrence in the follow-ups. On the other hand, we did not place stents in any of our patients. In the only patient who had recurrence, the real reason for the recurrence was that a nasal polyp obliterated the frontal recess; however, the recurrence occurred on postoperative month 41. As a result, we maintain that even a 12-week stent would not have been able to prevent recurrence in this patient. Even though the treatment for sinus mucoceles is surgery, it must be kept in mind that past surgical operations are also important in the etiology of mucoceles. The formation of a scar that blocks the sinus ostium after the surgery speeds up the formation of mucoceles [1,3]. Recurrence in the surgery operations due to nasal polyps or chronic rhinosinusitis may be caused because the ostium was not enlarged enough, and blockage of the ostium due to the recurrence of the primary pathology or excessive deformation of the nasal physiology during the surgery. The recurrence after the mucocele surgery occurs due to the same reasons. Especially in the frontal mucoceles, more frequent obliteration due to the anatomy of the frontal recess increases the recurrence rate [14]. However, in the surveys on mucocele surgery in the literature, it was observed that recurrence rates were very low (0-13%). In our study, the recurrence rate was 5%. However, we maintain that these recurrence rates do not reflect the reality because the follow-up periods did not exceed 10 years in any of these studies. However, mucoceles are cysts that grow very slowly. Meetze et al [15] retrospectively surveyed the frontal sinus complications in patients who underwent frontal craniotomy and found that frontoethmoidal mucoceles developed 14.8 years (1-39 years) after the operation on average. If recurrence can develop 39 years after a previous operation, we believe it is also possible for it to develop many years after mucocele surgery due to the trauma caused by the operation. We maintain that with longer-running studies, the recurrence rates may increase even more.

Conclusion

Paranasal sinus mucoceles can lead to serious complications such as orbital cellulitis, brain abscess and meningitis, especially when they are infected, that is, when they form a pyomucocele formation.

Endoscopic sinus surgery has emerged as the preferred surgical method for ethmoid, maxillary and sphenoid mucoceles. It is also the best treatment approach for frontal sinus mucoceles. In frontal sinus mucoceles, the limits of endoscopic sinus surgery are determined by the experience of the surgeon and the characteristics of the mucocele. Mucoceles that cause anterior wall defect and cause intracranial complications are the most important candidates for open surgery.
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